

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/584,185 | 06/23/2006 | Tomoya Terauchi | 1155-0304PUS1 | 1259 |
| 2292 BIRCH STEW | 7590 06/15/200 'ART KOLASCH & BI | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| PO BOX 747 | | JOHNSON, CONNIE P | | |
| FALLS CHUR | RCH, VA 22040-0747 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1795 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/15/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 10/584,185 | TERAUCHI ET AL. | | | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| CONNIE P. JOHNSON | 1795 | | | | | |

| | CONNIE P. JOHNSON | 1795 | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | |
| N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| f). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | lucing or simplifying t | ne issues for | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3.5.8 and 9. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| Impart Grown are revidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| /Cynthia H Kelly/ | | | | | | |

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment would require further consideration as it seeks to narrow the scope of the claims..